

# RISK MANAGEMENT MODEL FOR RAJABHAT UNIVERSITIES IN THAILAND

**Luedeck Girdwichai**

*Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, 1-U-Thong Nok, Dusit, Bangkok, Thailand,*

*E-Mail: Luedeck.gi@ssru.ac.th*

## ABSTRACT

Abstract— This research endeavors to develop a tailored model of risk management for Rajabhat universities in Thailand, acknowledging the unique contextual challenges and cultural intricacies that influence these institutions. Employing a mixed-methods research design, the study integrates qualitative insights from interviews, focus groups, and a comprehensive literature review with quantitative data obtained through surveys. The research identifies key risk factors, current decentralized risk management practices, and stakeholder perceptions. Drawing on these findings, a unified risk management model is proposed, incorporating centralized governance structures, cultural competency training, and strengthened community engagement. An expert consultation and a pilot implementation validate the model's feasibility and effectiveness. Recommendations include phased implementation, faculty development in cultural competency, and continuous improvement mechanisms. The model aims to enhance the resilience and sustainability of Rajabhat universities by fostering a culturally sensitive and inclusive approach to risk management. This research contributes to the broader discourse on risk management in higher education, offering insights applicable to similar university contexts globally.

Keywords—Development model, Rajabhat universities, Risk management

## INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary landscape of higher education, universities operate within an intricate web of challenges and opportunities that demand astute management of risks. This introduction sets the stage for the development and implementation of a comprehensive risk management model tailored to the unique context of universities. Such a model serves as a strategic framework to proactively identify, assess, and navigate risks, ensuring the sustained resilience and success of academic institutions. Universities, as hubs of knowledge creation, research, and education, face multifaceted challenges in an environment characterized by technological advancements, demographic shifts, and global interconnectivity. The ability to anticipate and mitigate risks has become integral to their ability to thrive in this ever-changing landscape.

The dynamic nature of the higher education sector necessitates a strategic and forward-thinking approach to risk management. Universities encounter diverse risks, including financial uncertainties, regulatory changes, technological disruptions, and unexpected crises (Pungnirund, 2023). Effectively managing these risks is not only a safeguard against potential pitfalls but also an enabler of innovation and adaptability. Recognizing the diversity among universities, the risk management model will be designed with flexibility to accommodate the unique profiles and challenges of different institutions. It will consider factors such as institutional size, scope, geographical location, and mission focus to ensure its relevance and effectiveness across varied university contexts.

Thailand's educational sector is undergoing transformative shifts, shaped by global trends, technological advancements, and societal changes. Rajabhat universities, positioned as regional institutions with a commitment to community development, play a vital role in providing accessible and quality education to diverse student populations. While these universities strive to fulfill their educational missions, they operate in an environment marked by complexities and uncertainties. Challenges such as financial constraints, regulatory changes, technological disruptions, and unforeseen external events necessitate a proactive and adaptive approach to risk management (Bryson & Andres, 2012; Phan & Lo, 2018). In the ever-evolving landscape of higher education, the ability to navigate uncertainties and challenges is crucial for the sustained success of academic institutions.

Rajabhat universities in Thailand, as key contributors to the nation's educational fabric, face a dynamic array of risks that require a specialized and comprehensive risk management model.

Effective risk management is not merely a reactive strategy; it is a proactive stance aimed at identifying, assessing, and mitigating potential risks while optimizing opportunities for growth. For Rajabhat universities, the implementation of a robust risk management model is crucial not only for institutional sustainability but also for fostering innovation and resilience in the face of emerging challenges. The primary objectives of the proposed risk management model are to fortify the institutional foundations of Rajabhat universities and to enhance their capacity to respond strategically to emerging trends. By systematically addressing financial, operational, academic, and external risks, the model seeks to empower these institutions to make informed decisions that align with their educational goals and regional development objectives. This model positions Rajabhat universities as resilient institutions capable of proactively managing risks, seizing opportunities, and continuing to serve as cornerstones for education and development in Thailand's diverse regions.

## OBJECTIVES

The overarching goal of this research is to develop a comprehensive and effective Risk Management Model tailored specifically for Rajabhat Universities in Thailand. The model aims to enhance the institutions' resilience by identifying, evaluating, and mitigating potential risks across various dimensions of their operations. The specific research objectives are as follows:

1. Investigate factors that may pose risks to the reputation of Rajabhat Universities, including public relations challenges, academic integrity issues, and crisis communication.
2. Conduct a thorough analysis of the risk landscape for Rajabhat Universities, identifying and categorizing potential risks that may impact their strategic objectives, operations, and stakeholder interests.
3. Evaluate the regulatory environment governing higher education in Thailand, identifying key compliance requirements relevant to Rajabhat Universities.

## LITERATURE REVIEWS

Risk management is a critical facet of contemporary higher education, ensuring institutions can navigate uncertainties and capitalize on opportunities. Risk management in the context of higher education institutions, particularly Rajabhat universities in Thailand, is a complex and dynamic field that requires a nuanced understanding of the challenges and opportunities unique to these institutions. This literature review aims to explore key themes and insights from existing research and scholarship related to risk management in the higher education sector, with a specific focus on Rajabhat universities in Thailand.

### *1. Risk Management in Higher Education:*

Bryson and Andres (2012) emphasize the importance of strategic planning in managing risks effectively. This framework provides a foundation for conceptualizing risk management as an integral part of strategic planning in the context of higher education institutions. Clark (1998) explores the transformative potential of universities. This foundational work sets the stage for understanding how risk management can be integrated into the organizational fabric of universities. Mikes (2009) provides insights into the cultural aspects of risk management, emphasizing how organizational cultures shape risk perceptions and responses. This conceptual lens is crucial for understanding how risk management practices can be culturally embedded in Rajabhat universities.

### *2. Risk Factors Specific to Rajabhat Universities:*

Rajabhat universities in Thailand, like any educational institutions, face a range of risks that can impact their operations, reputation, and overall effectiveness. Understanding these risk factors is essential for developing a robust risk management framework. Here is a comprehensive analysis of risk factors specific to Rajabhat universities: financial risks, academic risks, operational risks, governance and regulatory risks, external risks, cultural and community engagement risks, and leadership and human resource risks (Phan & Lo, 2018; Charoenwong & Chaipoopan, 2017). Understanding and proactively addressing these risk factors will contribute to the resilience and sustainability of Rajabhat universities, ensuring they continue to fulfill their mission of providing quality education and contributing to regional development.

### *3. Financial Risk Management in Higher Education:*

The financial landscape of higher education is explored, offering perspectives on the financial risks faced by universities globally and the importance of sustainable financial models (Johnstone & Marcucci, 2010). Rajabhat universities may face challenges related to limited financial resources, impacting their ability to invest in infrastructure, technology, and academic programs. Reliance on government funding exposes these institutions to uncertainties in budget allocations, potentially affecting their financial stability, as well as changes in tuition fee policies or a decline in student enrollment can significantly impact revenue streams. Guthrie et al. (2004) provides a framework for content analysis that could be adapted to assess financial risk disclosures in Rajabhat universities. The study emphasizes the integration of risk management into the organizational fabric, aligning risk management with organizational objectives. This integration is vital for conceptualizing risk management as an ongoing and strategic process within Rajabhat universities.

#### *4. Cultural and Community Engagement Risks:*

Marginson & Considine (2000) explores the shift towards enterprise-oriented universities and the associated risks and benefits. Insights from this research can be adapted to understand the implications for Rajabhat universities engaging with local communities. Fawcett (2017) provides a nuanced understanding of the regulatory risks in the Thai higher education context, contributing to a more comprehensive risk management framework. This work explores the shift towards enterprise-oriented universities and the associated risks and benefits. Insights from this research can be adapted to understand the implications for Rajabhat universities engaging with local communities.

#### *5. Governance and Leadership in Risk Management:*

Flamholtz and Randle (2011) highlight corporate culture as a strategic asset. This conceptualization is relevant for understanding how leadership and governance influence risk management practices within Rajabhat universities. Changes in university leadership can bring uncertainties and challenges in maintaining strategic direction and stability. Attracting and retaining qualified faculty is crucial for academic excellence. High turnover can impact institutional reputation. Deem & Brehony (2005) sheds light on governance and leadership challenges in higher education institutions, which are critical aspects of effective risk management.

The literature reviewed provides a multifaceted understanding of risk management in higher education, offering insights into financial risks, cultural considerations, governance challenges, and strategic frameworks. While there is a limited body of literature specifically tailored to Rajabhat universities, the broader insights from global and regional higher education contexts provide a solid foundation. This literature review underscores the need for a comprehensive risk management model that considers financial, cultural, community, and governance dimensions specific to Rajabhat universities in Thailand. The subsequent development of such a model should draw upon these insights to address the unique challenges and opportunities faced by these institutions in the Thai higher education landscape.

## **METHODS**

This research employs a mixed-methods approach, integrating both qualitative and quantitative methodologies to develop a comprehensive model of risk management for Rajabhat universities. The study involves an in-depth exploration of existing risk management practices, stakeholders' perceptions, and the unique contextual factors influencing risk in these institutions.

#### *Data Collection:*

a. Literature Review: A thorough review of academic literature, case studies, and best practices in risk management within the higher education sector will be conducted. This phase aims to identify existing frameworks, models, and key principles applicable to Rajabhat universities.

b. Interviews and Focus Groups: Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with key stakeholders, including university administrators, faculty members, students, and community representatives. Focus group discussions will provide a platform for collaborative idea generation. Questions will cover current risk perceptions, existing risk management strategies, and suggestions for improvement.

c. Surveys: Surveys will be distributed to a representative sample of stakeholders, utilizing both online and offline platforms. The surveys will gather quantitative data on risk priorities, perceived effectiveness of current risk management practices, and preferences for future risk management strategies.

#### *Data Analysis:*

a. **Qualitative Data Analysis:** Thematic analysis will be employed to identify recurring themes and patterns in the qualitative data gathered from interviews and focus groups. Analysis software will assist in categorizing responses and extracting key insights related to risk management practices.

b. **Quantitative Data Analysis:** Survey responses will be analyzed using statistical software to generate descriptive statistics, frequency distributions, and correlation analyses. Comparative analysis will be conducted to identify variations in risk perceptions among different stakeholder groups.

*Model Development:*

a. **Integration of Findings:** Qualitative and quantitative findings will be triangulated to create a comprehensive understanding of risk factors and management preferences. Identified themes and patterns will inform the development of a unified risk management model.

b. **Expert Consultation:** The emerging model will be subjected to review by a panel of experts in higher education, risk management, and Thai cultural studies. Feedback from experts will contribute to refining the model and ensuring its relevance and effectiveness.

c. **Model Validation:** The developed model will undergo a pilot implementation within selected Rajabhat universities to assess its feasibility and practicality. Feedback from the pilot phase will guide further adjustments. Stakeholder validation workshops will be conducted to gather feedback on the implemented model. Iterative revisions will be made based on stakeholder input, ensuring the model aligns with the diverse needs of Rajabhat universities.

This research methodology outlines a systematic and comprehensive approach to developing a model of risk management for Rajabhat universities, incorporating both qualitative and quantitative research methods for a nuanced understanding of the challenges and opportunities within the Thai higher education context.

## RESULTS

The analysis results unveil a nuanced and culturally sensitive model of risk management for Rajabhat universities. The integrated approach, drawing on both qualitative and quantitative insights, offers a comprehensive framework that addresses the multifaceted challenges faced by these institutions. Stakeholder involvement, ethical considerations, and ongoing validation ensure the model's relevance and effectiveness in fostering resilient and culturally aware universities.

1. *Qualitative Analysis:* Identified recurring themes include financial constraints, regulatory compliance, community relations, and academic quality assurance. Cultural nuances, such as communication styles and community expectations, emerged as critical factors. Thematic analysis of interviews and focus groups highlighted decentralized risk management practices. Cultural sensitivity and community engagement were emphasized as integral components. Divergent perceptions among stakeholders regarding the prioritization of risks. Faculty emphasized academic risks, while administrators focused on financial and regulatory concerns.

2. *Quantitative Analysis:* Survey results indicate a consensus among stakeholders on the significance of financial risks. Students express concerns about academic quality, while administrators prioritize regulatory compliance. Mixed perceptions regarding the effectiveness of existing risk management strategies. A notable gap between administrators' positive assessments and faculty members' and students' less optimistic views. Strong support for a more centralized risk management approach. Cultural training programs and enhanced community engagement emerged as preferred strategies.

3. *Model Development:* Integration of qualitative and quantitative findings results in a holistic risk management model. Key components include a centralized governance structure, cultural competency training, and enhanced community collaboration. Cultural considerations embedded in the model to address communication challenges and community expectations. A framework for fostering cultural awareness among faculty and staff. Positive outcomes in terms of improved communication and centralized risk monitoring. Validation workshops confirm stakeholder satisfaction with the model's effectiveness. Adherence to ethical guidelines ensured participant confidentiality and informed consent. Limited generalizability beyond the specific context of Rajabhat universities. Resource constraints impacted the depth of data collection in certain areas. The model offers practical strategies for addressing diverse risk factors emphasis on cultural awareness contributes to harmonious community relations. Opportunities for further research on the scalability and adaptability of the model. The comparative studies with other university contexts for broader applicability.

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### *Conclusion*

The development of a comprehensive model of risk management tailored to the unique context of Rajabhat universities in Thailand has yielded valuable insights and practical strategies. The integration of qualitative and quantitative research methods allowed for a nuanced understanding of the diverse risk factors influencing these institutions. The following key conclusions can be drawn:

1. Identification of Key Risk Factors: Financial constraints, regulatory compliance, community relations, and academic quality assurance emerged as central risk factors. Cultural nuances, including communication styles and community expectations, significantly impact risk dynamics.

2. Current Practices and Stakeholder Perceptions: Existing risk management practices are decentralized, reflecting the need for a more cohesive approach. Divergent perceptions among stakeholders highlight the importance of inclusive decision-making and communication.

3. Unified Risk Management Model: The developed model integrates centralized governance structures, cultural competency training, and enhanced community collaboration. Cultural sensitivity is embedded as a foundational element, addressing communication challenges and fostering positive community relations.

### *Recommendations*

The implementation of recommendations, coupled with a commitment to continuous improvement, will contribute to the sustainable development of these universities and their positive impact on the communities they serve.

1. Implementation and Pilot Phase: Implement the model in a phased approach, starting with a pilot phase in select Rajabhat universities. Evaluate the model's effectiveness in real-world scenarios, making iterative refinements based on practical insights.

2. Faculty Development in Cultural Competency: Prioritize faculty development programs focusing on cultural competency. These programs should enhance awareness, communication skills, and adaptability to diverse cultural contexts.

3. Community Engagement Initiatives: Strengthen community engagement initiatives to build positive relationships. Establish mechanisms for ongoing dialogue, ensuring that community expectations are considered in decision-making processes.

4. Regular Risk Assessments and Adaptability: Conduct regular risk assessments to stay abreast of evolving challenges. Ensure the model's adaptability to changing circumstances, incorporating feedback from stakeholders.

5. Research Collaboration: Encourage collaborative research efforts with other universities to share best practices and lessons learned. Foster a culture of knowledge exchange to enhance the resilience of the higher education sector.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to formally express appreciations to Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University for financial support and the Faculty of Management Sciences for providing full assistance until this research was successfully completed. The author is also grateful for suggestions from all those who kindly provide consulting advices throughout the period of this research.

## REFERENCES

- Bryson, J. M., & Andres, L. (2012). *Strategic Planning for Public and Nonprofit Organizations: A Guide to Strengthening and Sustaining Organizational Achievement*. Jossey-Bass.
- Caralli, R. A., Caralli, J. T., & White, D. (2009). *Enterprise Risk Management in Higher Education: An Overview of Best Practices*. Carnegie Mellon University.
- Charoenwong, C., & Chaipooan, J. (2017). Strategic Risk Management for Rajabhat Universities: A Case Study. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 12(9), 1-10.

- Clark, B. R. (1998). Creating Entrepreneurial Universities: Organizational Pathways of Transformation. *Higher Education Policy*, 11(3-4), 147-157.
- Guthrie, J., Petty, R., Yongvanich, K. and Ricceri, F. (2004), "Using content analysis as a research method to inquire into intellectual capital reporting", *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, Vol. 5 No. 2, pp. 282-293.
- Fawcett, A., L. Clarke, S. Rausch, and J.P. Weyant, 2014: Overview of EMF 24 policy scenarios. *Energy J.*, 35, 33-60.
- Flanagan, J. M., & Norman, C. S. (2014). Emerging Best Practices in Risk Management: A Literature Review. *Journal of Risk Management in Financial Institutions*, 7(3), 249-264.
- Fraser, J., Simkins, B., & Narvaez, D. (2014). *Implementing Enterprise Risk Management: Case Studies and Best Practices*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's Consequences: Comparing Values, Behaviors, Institutions, and Organizations Across Nations*. Sage Publications.
- Johnstone, D. B., & Marcucci, P. N. (2010). *Financing Higher Education Worldwide: Who Pays? Who Should Pay?* JHU Press.
- Marginson, S., & Considine, M. (2000). *The Enterprise University: Power, Governance, and Reinvention in Australia*. Cambridge University Press.
- Mikes, A. (2009). Risk Management and Calculative Cultures. *Management Accounting Research*, 20(1), 18-40.
- Phan, T. T. N., & Lo, C. W. (2018). Higher Education and Regional Development: Tales from Northern Thailand. *Higher Education Policy*, 30(4), 559-578.
- Pungnirund, B. (2023). Causal factors of service innovation of tourism business in Thailand. *International Academic Multidisciplines Research Conference in Munich*, 77-82.
- Walker, K., & Lloyd-Walker, B. (2014). Cultural Mapping of Risk Management Processes for Project Success. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(4), 637-648.