# APPLICATION OF POULTRY DEMAND FORECASTING TECHNIQUE FOR TRANSPORT COST MANAGEMENT.

**Piyamas Klakhaeng** 

College of Logistics and Supply Chain, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Bangkok, Thailand E-Mail: <u>piyamas.kl@ssru.ac.th</u>, <u>piyamaska@yahoo.com</u>

#### ABSTRACT

Poultry breeding retail and wholesale business had met customers' expectations. There was no provision for advance production and order some sensons had large quantity of customer orders, and some suppliers could not produce the goods to meet the customers' needs in time. This was lost opportunity. Transport costs were also increased since the delivery sizes were small. In other times, the production was higher than order demand. Hence the inventory cost was increased. To solve this problem, a forecasting technique was applied to forecast the demand and plan poulity production to manage transport cost.

The result shows that the moving-average forecasting technique was close to the real sales and it devide 4 periods of Egg-laying duckling. In July 2019, the poulity demand forecasting was 138 UNIT. The lowest MAPE was 23.81 percent, the EOQ of Egg-laying duckling was 47 per time. This would decrease the cost by 36 percent. The ROP was 18. Young egg-laying duck. In July, the poulity demand forecasting was 138, and the lowest MAPE was 31.64 percent. the EOQ of Young egg-laying duck was 34 per time, the cost was decreased for 6 percent, the ROP of was 10. Muscovy duckling. In July 2019, the poulity demand forecasting was 129,the lowest MAPE was 22.92 percent. the EOQ of Muscovy duckling was 45 per time, the cost was decreased for 33 percent, the ROP of was 17. Egg-laying chick. In July, the poulity demand forecasting was 107 and the lowest MAPE was 6.13 percent, the EOQ of Egg-laying chick was 42 per time, the cost was decreased for 26 percent, the ROP was 14. There are 3 periods of Quail of Moving average in July 2019, the poulity demand forecasting was 300, and the lowest MAPE was 16.56 percent. the EOQ was 77 per time, the cost was decreased for 60 percent and the ROP was 48. Finally the poulity farm found the information of forecasting the tolerance reduce as 28.66% by averaging.

The forecasting implementation was effective. However, other forecasting models should be studied and evaluated before actual selection and implementation. This could be executive's approach to consider in a businesss to increase efficiency and decrease transport costs.

Keyword : Forecasting, Deviation, Transport cost

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Current economic Pet products and services industry has well performed continually and trend to expansion in the future runs along with the economic recovery. Chairat Farm started its business based on personal preferences in the past by starting from breeding beautiful birds for sale. After that, beautiful broilers were introduced to sell and find sales channels by establishing a shop in Chatuchak Park, a beautiful poultry zone. And expanded the business by bringing 32 kinds of beautiful poultry to breed for retail and wholesale; Chairat Farm was well accepted to customers. There was no provision for advance production and order some

seasons had large quantity of customer orders, and some suppliers could not produce the goods to meet the customers' needs in time. This was lost opportunity. Transport costs were also increased since the delivery sizes were small. In other times, the production was higher than order demand. Hence the inventory cost was increased. To solve this problem, a forecasting technique was applied to forecast the demand and plan poultry production to manage transport cost.

#### **OBJECTIVE**

To study inventory forecasting model of poulitry demand production.

To plan and reduce the risk of raw material according with needs and manage transport cost

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

The researcher defined the terminology in accordance with variable definition. Forecasting means the process of making predictions of the future based on past and present data and most commonly by analysis of trends. Economic Order Quantity means the optimum quantity of an item to be purchased at one time in order to minimize the combined annual costs of ordering and carrying the item in inventory. Reorder Point means the level of inventory which triggers an action to replenish that inventory stock.

1. Forecasting techniques, Moving average method is forecasting techniques which using the past information to forecast the future like predict the demand of product using to plan in the future purchasing in the future from the amount of using product in the past by Chawaree (2556) said, (Simple Moving Average :SMA) this method will balance the weight of all calculated values to be equally significant by using the time established information and make the average. The moving average is the averaging method when have no trend or have a little. The method is very useful when we can assume that the marketing demand is stable all the time and use equation as

Moving Average = <u>Demand in previous n periods</u>

n

The n is the period amount in moving average like 3,4,5 months continueingly

2. Exponential Method is the averaging of weight balance and the value of calculated forecast which calculated from the forecast value before and plus the difference percent between forecast value and real value of serie at that point which is the next period

forecast value = The forecast value before +  $\alpha$  (real value-the forecast value of the period before)

by (The real forecast value -The forecast value of the period before) Instead of the mistake in the forecast and  $\alpha$  is the percentage of forecast's mistake thus

$$\begin{split} \text{Exponential} &= F_t = F_{t-1} + \alpha \left( A_{t-1} - F_{t-1} \right) \\ \text{by} \quad & F_t = \text{forecast value for t period} \\ & F_{t-1} = \text{forecast value for t-1 period} \\ & \alpha = \text{Smoothing constant} \\ & A_{t-1} = \text{demand of the real sales volume for t-1 period} \end{split}$$

3. Forecasting Correctness Analyze : the accuracy in forecasting is the important thing and have a significance in two kinds to be considered which is the tolerance of forecasting which happened in the past, The ability of forecasting result which can be

respond with changing to analyze. The measuring method the error of forecasting the past information which to be used generally and it has 2 methods thus mean absolute deviations: MAD and mean squared error :MSE The error finding that use for comparing with forecasting value by MAD(Mean Absolute Deviation)method From formula

$$MAD = (\underline{\Sigma}|real value - forecast value|)$$
N

The finding method of mean squared error value to use for comparing with forecasting value by using MSE method(Mean Squared Error) From formula

$$MSE = \frac{(\Sigma Ireal value - forecast value)2}{N}$$

The error finding that means how many percent of error that can happen in forecasting to use in the conclusion to prove that prediction have the correctness enough.

4. Having inventory to supply suitable amount of product can be reducing the risk from demand and supply variation by Pimnisa Dechkarnchanasil said the inventory is products that we manufactured or bought for distribute. The inventory management is the important thing to service customers to have the product that they want to buy all the time they need. On the other hand it can lead the high cost in an operation thus many organizations realized this kind of problems and find the solving problem method to reduce the inventory cost in supply chain system, the effectiveness of inventory can balance the product level with customer's satisfy such as order fill rates. However the risk that happen from inventory management might be the overload of product stocking and the stocked product is not the one that customers need.Nukrak (2551) researched in the thesis about the suitable amount of purchasing to reduce the expense of dynamic flow line company limited inventory management. It is the Cast Steel Value import business which found that it had too much stock that cost the budget of purchasing and expense f inventory more than necessary also without purchasing amount calculation method.Nukrak's research had the objective to find the suitable amount of purchasing to reduce the expense from product collection and decrease the product stock amount. Kraiwit (2017). Hence, this study use Continuous Review System policy (s, Q\*) in order to compare the cost to that from the current situation

5. Purchasing means the operating activity to bring in products or service ,raw material along with machine, equipment to achieve the business's objective. Normally in business can divide the purchasing into two category by purchasing to sell and purchasing to use or transform this protocol is very important especially in the generation which every environment change so rapidly ,the competition to reduce product's cost and operation cost, Staff and purchasing responsible unit have to realize how to develop job task and job protocol to connect with another unit in an organization and to connect with business supply chain in effective way and can be response with needs in the right way and right time.

### METHODOLOGY

#### **Population and sample groups**

This research applied forecasting technique of poultry demand for managing transport cost by using average of last one year and three months previous sales. The population of this research is the case study chose to use the data need in group poulity production and it devide 5 periods of Egg-laying duckling ,Young egg-laying duck ,Muscovy duckling ,Egg-

laying chick and Quail previously one year and three months to be predicted as navigable product for forecasting by assume selling volume in the future from manufactured volume in the past ,Forecasting data and collected related data to make comparison of historical data.

The sample group is calculated by forcasting techniques (Moving Average) 3 periods and 4 periods compare with (Exponential) which using past information forecasting techniques. Finding the Economic Order Quantity cost reduction and new Reorder Point.

|      | a         | Egg-laying | 2      |       | Expor  | nential | MADEMON  | MARENONI  | MADEOI   |          |
|------|-----------|------------|--------|-------|--------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
|      | month     | (UNIT)     | mov3   | mov4  | 0.1    | 0.5     | MAPEMOVS | MAPE MOV4 | MAPE 0.1 | MAPE 0.5 |
|      | April     | 160        |        |       | 160    | 160     |          |           | 0.00     | 0.00     |
|      | May       | 120        |        |       | 160.00 | 160.00  |          |           | 33.33    | 33.33    |
|      | June      | 110        |        |       | 156.00 | 140.00  |          |           | 41.82    | 27.27    |
|      | July      | 80         | 130    |       | 151.40 | 125.00  | 62.5     |           | 89.25    | 56.25    |
| 2018 | August    | 90         | 103.33 | 117.5 | 144.26 | 102.50  | 14.81    | 30.56     | 60.29    | 13.89    |
|      | September | 130        | 93.33  | 100   | 138.83 | 96.25   | 28.21    | 23.08     | 6.80     | 25.96    |
|      | October   | 150        | 100    | 102.5 | 137.95 | 113.13  | 33.33    | 31.67     | 8.03     | 24.58    |
|      | November  | 160        | 123.33 | 112.5 | 139.16 | 131.56  | 22.92    | 29.69     | 13.03    | 17.77    |
|      | December  | 200        | 146.67 | 132.5 | 141.24 | 145.78  | 26.67    | 33.75     | 29.38    | 27.11    |
|      | January   | 220        | 170    | 160   | 147.12 | 172.89  | 22.73    | 27.27     | 33.13    | 21.41    |
|      | February  | 210        | 193.33 | 182.5 | 154.40 | 196.45  | 7.94     | 13.10     | 26.47    | 6.45     |
|      | March     | 180        | 210    | 197.5 | 159.96 | 203.22  | 16.67    | 9.72      | 11.13    | 12.90    |
| 2019 | April     | 150        | 203.33 | 202.5 | 161.97 | 191.61  | 35.55    | 35.00     | 7.98     | 27.74    |
|      | May       | 100        | 180    | 190   | 160.77 | 170.81  | 80.00    | 90.00     | 60.77    | 70.81    |
|      | June      | 120        | 143.33 | 160   | 154.69 | 135.40  | 19.44    | 33.33     | 28.91    | 12.84    |
|      | July      |            | 123.33 | 137.5 | 151.22 | 127.70  | 370.76   | 357.16    | 450.32   | 378.32   |

Table 1: The example of using Microsoft Excel to calculate

| Table 2:  | The   | examp | ole of | using | Microsoft | Excel | to | calculate | the | Economic | Order | Quantity |
|-----------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|----|-----------|-----|----------|-------|----------|
| cost redu | ction | ı.    |        |       |           |       |    |           |     |          |       |          |
|           | -     | 1 n   | •      |       |           |       |    |           |     |          |       |          |

and new Reorder Point.

| Poultry               | Deman<br>d | <b>(S)</b> | (H)  | EOQ | ROP | s*d   | h*q   |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|------|-----|-----|-------|-------|
| Egg-laying duckling,  | 2,180      | 0.26       | 0.51 | 47  | 18  | 567   | 24.04 |
| Young egg-laying duck | 1,140      | 0.26       | 0.51 | 34  | 10  | 296   | 17.39 |
| Muscovy duckling      | 2,000      | 0.26       | 0.51 | 45  | 17  | 520   | 23.03 |
| Fgg-laying chick      | 1,690      | 0.26       | 0.51 | 42  | 14  | 439   | 21.17 |
| Quail                 | 5,780      | 0.26       | 0.51 | 77  | 48  | 1,503 | 39.15 |
|                       |            |            |      |     |     | ./Q   | ./2   |

## The research tools

This research applied forecasting technique of poultry demand for managing transport cost by using average of last one year and three months previous sales. Time series consist of Exponential Smoothing Meth forecasting and Moving Average forecasting. Finding the Economic Order Quantity cost reduction. Finding a new Reorder Point. Use Microsoft Excel 2013 to make mathematic model shown about forecasting data of forecasting in table 3.

|      | month     | Egg-laying<br>duckling (UNIT) | Young egg-laying<br>duck(UNIT) | Muscovy<br>duckling(UNIT) | Egg-laying<br>chick(UNIT) | Quail (UNIT) |
|------|-----------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
|      | April     | 160                           | 80                             | 120                       | 100                       | 300          |
|      | May       | 120                           | 60                             | 100                       | 110                       | 300          |
|      | June      | 110                           | 40                             | 95                        | 105                       | 320          |
|      | July      | 80                            | 35                             | 80                        | 120                       | 300          |
| 2018 | August    | 90                            | 50                             | 130                       | 125                       | 400          |
|      | September | 130                           | 55                             | 140                       | 100                       | 400          |
|      | October   | 150                           | 60                             | 150                       | 120                       | 400          |
|      | November  | 160                           | 70                             | 160                       | 120                       | 410          |
|      | December  | 200                           | 90                             | 130                       | 115                       | 500          |
|      | January   | 220                           | 120                            | 180                       | 120                       | 500          |
|      | February  | 210                           | 110                            | 200                       | 130                       | 500          |
|      | March     | 180                           | 160                            | 190                       | 105                       | 550          |
| 2019 | April     | 150                           | 130                            | 130                       | 100                       | 300          |
|      | May       | 100                           | 140                            | 110                       | 111                       | 300          |
|      | June      | 120                           | 120                            | 85                        | 110                       | 300          |
|      | July      |                               |                                |                           |                           |              |

Table 3: Data about manufactured volume conclusion

The result shows that the moving-average forecasting technique was close to the real sales and it devide 4 periods of Egg-laying duckling. In July 2019, the poulity demand forecasting was 138 UNIT. The lowest MAPE was 23.81 percent, the EOQ of Egg-laying duckling was 47 per time. This would decrease the cost by 36 percent. The ROP was 18.

Table 4: Forecasting Egg-laying duckling compare with moving average 3periods and 4 periods

|      |           | Eag lawing         |        |       |                      |        |            |      |     |     |
|------|-----------|--------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|--------|------------|------|-----|-----|
| -    | month     | duckling<br>(UNIT) | mov3   | mov4  | Poultry              | Demand | <b>(S)</b> | (H)  | EOQ | ROP |
|      | April     | 160                |        |       | Egg-laying duckling, | 2,180  | 0.26       | 0.51 | 47  | 18  |
|      | May       | 120                |        |       | ·                    |        |            |      |     |     |
|      | June      | 110                |        |       |                      |        |            |      |     |     |
|      | July      | 80                 | 130    |       |                      |        |            |      |     |     |
| 2018 | August    | 90                 | 103.33 | 117.5 |                      |        |            |      |     |     |
|      | September | 130                | 93.33  | 100   |                      |        |            |      |     |     |
|      | October   | 150                | 100    | 102.5 |                      |        |            |      |     |     |
|      | November  | 160                | 123.33 | 112.5 |                      |        |            |      |     |     |
|      | December  | 200                | 146.67 | 132.5 |                      |        |            |      |     |     |
|      | January   | 220                | 170    | 160   |                      |        |            |      |     |     |
|      | February  | 210                | 193.33 | 182.5 |                      |        |            |      |     |     |
|      | March     | 180                | 210    | 197.5 |                      |        |            |      |     |     |
| 2019 | April     | 150                | 203.33 | 202.5 |                      |        |            |      |     |     |
|      | May       | 100                | 180    | 190   |                      |        |            |      |     |     |
|      | June      | 120                | 143.33 | 160   |                      |        |            |      |     |     |
|      | July      |                    | 123.33 | 137.5 |                      |        |            |      |     |     |

Young egg-laying duck. In July, the poulity demand forecasting was 138, and the lowest MAPE was 31.64 percent. the EOQ of Young egg-laying duck was 34 per time, the cost was decreased for 6 percent, the ROP of was 10.

July

Table 5: Forecasting Young egg-laying duck compare with moving average 3periods and 4 periods

|      |           | Young egg-laving |        |       |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
|------|-----------|------------------|--------|-------|-----------------------|--------|------|------|-----|-----|
|      | month     | duck(UNIT)       | mov3   | mov4  | Poultry               | Demand | (8)  | (H)  | EOQ | ROP |
| _    | April     | 80               |        |       | Young egg-laying duck | 1,140  | 0.26 | 0.51 | 34  | 10  |
|      | May       | 60               |        |       |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | June      | 40               |        |       |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | July      | 35               | 60     |       |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
| 2018 | August    | 50               | 45     | 53.75 |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | September | 55               | 41.67  | 46.25 |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | October   | 60               | 46.67  | 45    |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | November  | 70               | 55     | 50    |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | December  | 90               | 61.67  | 58.75 |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | January   | 120              | 73.33  | 68.75 |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | February  | 110              | 93.33  | 85    |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | March     | 160              | 106.67 | 97.5  |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
| 2019 | April     | 130              | 130    | 120   |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | May       | 140              | 133.33 | 130   |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | June      | 120              | 143.33 | 135   |                       |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | July      |                  | 130    | 137.5 |                       |        |      |      |     |     |

Muscovy duckling. In July 2019, the poulity demand forecasting was 129, the lowest MAPE was 22.92 percent. the EOQ of Muscovy duckling was 45 per time, the cost was decreased for 33 percent, the ROP of was 17.

Table 6: Forecasting Muscovy duckling compare with moving average 3periods and 4 periods

|      | month     | Muscovy<br>duckling(UNIT) |        |        | Poultry          | Demand | (5)  | (H)  | FOO | ROP |
|------|-----------|---------------------------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|------|------|-----|-----|
|      |           |                           | mov3   | mov4   | Tourty           | Demanu | (5)  | (11) | LOQ | KOI |
|      | April     | 120                       |        |        | Muscovy duckling | 2,000  | 0.26 | 0.51 | 45  | 17  |
|      | May       | 100                       |        |        |                  |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | June      | 95                        |        |        |                  |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | July      | 80                        | 105    |        |                  |        |      |      |     |     |
| 2018 | August    | 130                       | 91.67  | 98.75  |                  |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | September | 140                       | 101.67 | 101.25 |                  |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | October   | 150                       | 116.67 | 111.25 |                  |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | November  | 160                       | 140    | 125    |                  |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | December  | 130                       | 150    | 145    |                  |        |      |      |     |     |
| _    | January   | 180                       | 146.67 | 145    |                  |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | February  | 200                       | 156.67 | 155    |                  |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | March     | 190                       | 170    | 167.5  |                  |        |      |      |     |     |
| 2019 | April     | 130                       | 190    | 175    |                  |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | May       | 110                       | 173.33 | 175    |                  |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | June      | 85                        | 143.33 | 157.5  |                  |        |      |      |     |     |
|      | July      |                           | 108.33 | 128.75 |                  |        |      |      |     |     |

Egg-laying chick. In July, the poulity demand forecasting was 107 and the lowest MAPE was 6.13 percent., the EOQ of Egg-laying chick was 42 per time, the cost was decreased for 26 percent, the ROP was 8.

|      | month     | Egg-laying<br>chick(UNIT) | mov3   | mov4   |
|------|-----------|---------------------------|--------|--------|
|      | April     | 100                       |        |        |
|      | May       | 110                       |        |        |
|      | June      | 105                       |        |        |
|      | July      | 120                       | 105    |        |
| 2018 | August    | 125                       | 111.67 | 108.75 |
|      | September | 100                       | 116.67 | 115    |
|      | October   | 120                       | 115    | 112.5  |
|      | November  | 120                       | 115    | 116.25 |
|      | December  | 115                       | 113.33 | 116.25 |
|      | January   | 120                       | 118.33 | 113.75 |
|      | February  | 130                       | 118.33 | 118.75 |
|      | March     | 105                       | 121.67 | 121.25 |
| 2019 | April     | 100                       | 118.33 | 117.5  |
|      | May       | 111                       | 111.67 | 113.75 |
|      | June      | 110                       | 105.33 | 111.5  |
|      | July      |                           | 107    | 106.5  |

Table 7: Forecasting Egg-laying chick compare with moving average 3periods and 4 periods

Poultry

Egg-laying chick

**(S)** 

0.26

Demand

1,690

(H)

0.51

EOQ

42

ROP

14

| There are 3 periods of Quail of Moving average in July 2019, the poulity demar           | ıd |
|--|----|
| forecasting was 300, and the lowest MAPE was 16.56 percent. the EOQ was 77 per time, the | ne |
| cost was decreased for 60 percent and the ROP was 30.                                    |    |

|      | month     | Quail (UNIT) | mov3   | mov4  |
|------|-----------|--------------|--------|-------|
|      | April     | 300          |        |       |
|      | May       | 300          |        |       |
|      | June      | 320          |        |       |
|      | July      | 300          | 306.67 |       |
| 2018 | August    | 400          | 306.67 | 305   |
|      | September | 400          | 340    | 330   |
|      | October   | 400          | 366.67 | 355   |
|      | November  | 410          | 400    | 375   |
|      | December  | 500          | 403.33 | 402.5 |
|      | January   | 500          | 436.67 | 427.5 |
|      | February  | 500          | 470    | 452.5 |
|      | March     | 550          | 500    | 477.5 |
| 2019 | April     | 300          | 516.67 | 512.5 |
|      | May       | 300          | 450    | 462.5 |
|      | June      | 300          | 383.33 | 412.5 |
|      | July      |              | 300    | 362.5 |

| TT 11 0  | E /'         | ∩ '1     | ·.1          | •          | 2         | • 1       | 1 / 1         |   |
|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---|
| Table X. | Horecasting  | ( )11911 | compare with | moving s   | average f | neriods s | and 4 neriods | 2 |
| raute o. | 1 Orecasting | Ouan     | compare with | moving (   |           | Derrous a | unu + bonous  | • |
|          | <i>C</i>     | •        |              | ( <b>7</b> | <u> </u>  |           |               |   |

| Poultry | Demand | (S)  | (H)  | EOQ | ROP |
|---------|--------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Quail   | 5,780  | 0.26 | 0.51 | 77  | 48  |

#### RESULTS

The research was to interview and collect data using for forecasting model by Time series analysis. Exponential Smoothing method was applied and compared with Moving Average method. And the result was next to forecasted the Economic Order Quantity cost reduction and a new Reorder Point in order to find the lowest and most suitable purchasing by means of collecting sales statistics for fifteen months between March 2018 to July 2019.

The population of this research is the case study chose to use the data need in group poulity production

and it devide 4 periods of Egg-laying duckling, Young egg-laying duck, Muscovy duckling ,Egg-laying chick and Quail previously one year and three months The result shows that the moving-average forecasting technique was close to the real sales and it devide 4 periods of Egg-laying duckling. In July 2019, the poulity demand forecasting was 138 UNIT. The lowest MAPE was 23.81 percent, the EOQ of Egg-laying duckling was 47 per time. This would decrease the cost by 36 percent. The ROP was 18. Young egg-laying duck. In July, the poulity demand forecasting was 138, and the lowest MAPE was 31.64 percent. the EOQ of Young egg-laying duck was 34 per time, the cost was decreased for 6 percent, the ROP of was 10. Muscovy duckling. In July 2019, the poulity demand forecasting was 129, the lowest MAPE was 22.92 percent. the EOQ of Muscovy duckling was 45 per time, the cost was decreased for 33 percent, the ROP of was 17. Egg-laying chick. In July, the poulity demand forecasting was 107 and the lowest MAPE was 6.13 percent., the EOQ of Egg-laying chick was 42 per time, the cost was decreased for 26 percent, the ROP was 14. There are 3 periods of Quail of Moving average in July 2019, the poulity demand forecasting was 300, and the lowest MAPE was 16.56 percent. the EOQ was 77 per time, the cost was decreased for 60 percent and the ROP was 48. Finally the poultry farm found the information of forecasting the tolerance reduce as 28.66% by averaging.

| <b>Amount Indicator</b>                                   | Jul-19 |           |          | Forecasting Value 2010  |
|---|--------|-----------|----------|-------------------------|
| Navigable product   | planed | real used | forecast | Forecasting value 2019  |
| Egg-laying duckling                                       | 180    | 130       | 138      | reduced error as 23.33% |
| Egg-laying duckling                                       | 150    | 90        | 93       | reduced error as 38.00% |
| Muscovy duckling  | 180    | 125       | 129      | reduced error as 28.33% |
| Egg-laying chick  | 150    | 110       | 107      | reduced error as 28.66% |
| Quail   | 400    | 320       | 300      | reduced error as 25.00% |
|   |        |           |          |                         |
| The holding inventory amount reduced As 28.66% by average |        |           |          |                         |

Table 9: Present the result of error forecasting value

If we use the pattern as explain before, It can help a company to decrease of forecasting error as 28.66 by average. And the number of value which receive from math model shown that the using amount is nearly with the real use amount and can be used for considered data, planning the navigable product and planning for suitable job of company as study case. The forecasting implementation was effective. However, other forecasting models should be studied and evaluated before actual selection and implementation. This could be executive's approach to consider in a business to increase efficiency and decrease transport costs.

## **CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK**

The idea about reduce the forecast deviation guideline establishment by researcher did the experiment of using moving average method compared with exponential method to test the forecast value as figure 1.



Figure 1. Conceptual framework for experimental forecast

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my special thanks of gratitude to College of Logistics and Supply chain Suansunandha Rajabhat University who gave me the golden opportunity to do this wonderful project on the topic Application of Poultry Demand Forecasting Technique for Transport Cost Management, which also helped me in doing a lot of Research and i came to know about so many new things I am really thankful to them.Secondly i would also like to thank my parents and friends who helped me a lot in finalizing this project within the limited time frame.

# REFERENCE

- [1] Kraiwit Sinthukhammoon. (2017). The Analysis of Procurement and Inventory Policy: Steel Tank Firm. Full Paper Proceeding Book 8th International conference on Implications of Research in Business, Economics, Management Social Sciences and Humanities (IRBEMSH). Osaka, Japan
- [2] Wittaya Suharuedamrong(2546). Logistics and Supply chain can be explained easily.Bangkok : SE-ED ucation.,2546. Chawalee Rattanawongsri "the advance forecasting of rubber in agriculture marketing Thailand" Veridian E-Journal, SU Vol.6 No.1.,2554.
- [3] Wichai Rungreunganan.(2550). Inventory Management.Bangkok:Thailand Industry council.,2550.Information System in inventory and stock.Available: http://kilerk.blogspot.com/2008/07/blog-post.html
- [4] Pipop Lalitaporn.(2549).Planning system and production control(revised)published 13<sup>th</sup>.Bangkok :Technology Promotion Association(Thailand-Japan).,2549.
- [5] Mukda Maanmin.(2549). Time series and forecasting. Bangkok: Four Printing., 2549

- [6] Somkeard Kate-aem.(2548).Forecasting Technique.(published 2<sup>nd</sup>).Songkla:Taksin University.,2548
- [7] Thanakorn Jinda Banjerd.(2554)."Increasing the efficiency in inventory management"Por ka la auto co.,LTD., University of the Thai Chamber.,2554
- [8] Benjapha Suwanprateep and Chana Yeangkamonsing,"Education for strategy establishment of inventory managing to be in suitable amount in case study of company C Electric distributor
- [9] T. Hong, P. Wang, L. White, "Weather station selection for electric load forecasting", International Journal of Forecasting, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 286-295.,2015.
- [10] R. J. Hyndman, G. Athanasopoulos, Forecasting: principles and practice, OTexts., 2014
- [11] Stevenson, W.J.Production Operations Management 8th Edition Irwin/McGRAW-HILL.,2005
- [12] P.Khaemusunun,(2009), Forecasting Thai Gold Prices. Available from: <u>http://www.wbiconpro.com/3-</u>Pravit-.pdf.,2009
- [13] Dobler, Donald W. Lee, Lamar. Burt, David (1984) Industrial procurement Purchasing Materials management McGraw-Hill, New York., 1984
- [14] Massarrat, A.K. (2013),"Forecasting of gold prices (box Jenkins approach)".International Journal of Emerging