

# Artistic Reflections of Urban and Rural Structures

Pisit Puntien

Faculty of Fine and Applied Arts, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Bangkok, Thailand

E-mail: pisit.pu@ssru.ac.th

## Abstract

Urban and rural structures represent distinct but deeply interconnected dimensions in the context of human settlement. This paper aims to study and analyse the symbolic and practical relationships reflected in the artwork, specifically the acrylic on canvas painting *Inside the City–Outside the City*. It also aims to explore the interactions between urban expansion and rural resilience and to provide a new understanding of the interdependence and influence of the two spaces through an artistic perspective. This study aims to reflect on the structural and symbolic dimensions of the urban and rural environments as represented in the painting *Inside the City–Outside the City*. By analysing the composition of the artwork and its symbolic significance, the research seeks to gain a deeper understanding of the connections and differences between urban and rural spaces. The painting uses bright colours, abstract patterns, and multi-layered surface construction to convey the essence of both spatial perspectives. The comparisons presented in the artwork help to understand the differences and similarities between these two types of spaces. This study applies fieldwork methodology to link artistic interpretation with social and spatial analysis. The results of the analysis reveal a dynamic interaction between the process of urban expansion and rural resilience. The findings highlight the interdependence and influence between urban and rural spaces. This article aims to contribute to the discussion and create new perspectives on such spatial relationships, with illustrations as an important foundation for presenting these ideas.

**Keywords:** Urban-rural dynamics, Spatial relationships, Artistic interpretation, Abstract representation, Urbanization and resilience

## 1. Introduction

Urban and rural environments have long been central to human civilization, each offering unique characteristics and playing distinct roles in societal development. Urban areas are often seen as hubs of progress, characterized by infrastructure, density, and modernity, while rural areas symbolize tradition, natural beauty, and simplicity. Despite their apparent differences, these two environments are deeply interconnected, influencing one another in both subtle and profound ways. The exploration of these connections has been a recurring theme across various disciplines, including geography, sociology, and art. The painting *Inside the City–Outside the City* provides a compelling visual representation of the contrasts and relationships between urban and rural structures. Through its abstract depiction and use of acrylic on canvas, the artwork captures the tension and harmony that define these two spaces. This paper delves into the painting's artistic and symbolic dimensions, offering insights into the dynamics of urban and rural environments. By analyzing the composition, colors, and forms used in the painting, this study seeks to uncover the deeper narrative of urban-rural interaction and its implications

for spatial and cultural development, as Baker, L. (2020) said, explores the intricate relationship between urban and rural landscapes, emphasizing their interdependence in shaping societal development. The contrasts and connections between these environments, as highlighted in the painting *Inside the City—Outside the City*, align with Baker's analysis of how urban infrastructure and rural simplicity coexist and influence cultural and spatial transformations.

*Figure 1: The images of the artistic reflections of urban and rural structures. Title: Inside the City—Outside the City, Technique: Acrylic on Canvas, Size: 80x100 cm.*



*Source: Researcher*

### 1.1 Research Objective

This study is to reflect on the structural and symbolic dimensions of urban and rural environments as depicted in the painting *Inside the City—Outside the City*. By analyzing the artwork's elements and their symbolic significance, the research seeks to deepen our understanding of the connections and contrasts between urban and rural spaces.

## 2. Methodology

The research employs a field study methodology, combining direct observation of the painting with contextual analysis of urban and rural environments. The painting, created with acrylic on canvas, serves as the primary data source. Observations are supplemented with insights from urban studies, rural sociology, and environmental. By examining the artistic techniques and symbolic elements, the study deciphers the embedded narrative of urban-rural interplay.





### Analysis of the Painting

*Inside the City—Outside the City* is a striking representation of the contrasts and overlaps between urban and rural life. The painting features a prominent, multicolored geometric structure symbolizing the city, juxtaposed with organic elements such as trees and open skies, representing the rural landscape. The choice of acrylic on canvas allows for vivid, textured

expressions that enhance the visual depth of the painting. The use of contrasting colors—bright hues for urban elements and earthy tones for rural components—further emphasizes the dichotomy. The abstract style suggests fluidity and interconnectedness, reflecting how urban and rural spaces influence one another.

The painting’s composition—with the urban structure centrally placed and rural elements surrounding it—symbolizes the dominance of urbanization while acknowledging the persistence of rural environments. The curved line arching over the composition may represent the invisible yet omnipresent link between the two realms. The barren tree and flourishing tree depicted side by side highlight the environmental and cultural contrasts inherent in urban and rural settings.

Table 1: Table

Content	Environment	Image of visual art information
City elements surrounding		
Rural elements surrounding		

Source: Researcher

3. Results

The study identifies a complex relationship between urban and rural structures as depicted in the painting. The artwork suggests that urban and rural environments are not isolated; rather, they coexist in a state of tension and mutual influence. Urban areas, represented by structured and vibrant forms, embody development, density, and artificiality. In contrast, rural settings, depicted through organic and subdued elements, symbolize nature, tradition, and simplicity. The painting’s layered composition and abstract representation imply a dynamic interplay—urbanization often encroaches upon rural areas, while rural characteristics subtly permeate urban life. The findings highlight the importance of recognizing and preserving the unique qualities of both structures. The painting serves as a reminder of the interdependence between urban and rural areas, urging a balanced approach to development and conservation.

The study delves into the intricate relationship between urban and rural structures as interpreted through the lens of the painting. The findings reveal that the artwork portrays urban and rural environments as deeply interconnected rather than existing as distinct, isolated

entities. This interconnection is characterized by a state of tension and mutual influence, emphasizing their shared impact on each other's existence and evolution.

**1. Urban Representation:** Urban areas in the painting are symbolized by structured, vibrant, and geometric forms. These elements convey a sense of development, density, and artificiality, representing human innovation and progress. The bold and organized patterns suggest the complexity and dynamic energy of urban life, showcasing its industrial and technological advancements. However, these elements also hint at the pressures and challenges associated with urbanization, such as overcrowding and environmental degradation.

**2. Rural Representation:** In contrast, rural settings are depicted through organic, fluid, and subdued forms, reflecting nature's tranquility and simplicity. These softer, more irregular shapes evoke a sense of tradition, community, and a harmonious connection to the natural world. The painting associates rural landscapes with a slower pace of life and a preservation of cultural heritage. Yet, the delicate and sometimes fragmented portrayal of these elements hints at their vulnerability to external influences, particularly urban expansion (Mo and Chandhasa, 2023).

**3. Dynamic Interplay:** The painting's layered composition and abstract techniques highlight a dynamic interplay between these two spheres. Urbanization is shown as encroaching upon rural landscapes, often reshaping them in the name of progress and development. Skyscrapers and industrial elements overlap with or obscure the organic forms of the countryside, signifying the dominance of urban growth. At the same time, rural characteristics subtly influence urban life, as organic motifs and natural elements find their way into urban spaces, reflecting humanity's inherent need to connect with nature.

**4. Symbolic Interpretation:** The tension between the two environments is not presented as purely adversarial but rather as a reflection of their interdependence. Urban areas rely on rural regions for resources, sustenance, and cultural grounding, while rural areas are influenced by the economic opportunities and technological innovations introduced by urban centers. This symbiotic relationship underscores the dualities of progress and preservation, innovation and tradition.

**5. Call to Action:** The painting, through its abstract and evocative representation, serves as a poignant reminder of the importance of recognizing and preserving the unique qualities of both urban and rural structures. It emphasizes the need for a balanced approach to development—one that harmonizes urban growth with rural conservation. The study suggests that such a balance is essential for fostering sustainable environments that honor both nature and human progress.

In conclusion, the painting's depiction of urban and rural environments is a powerful commentary on their coexistence. It challenges viewers to rethink conventional narratives of separation between these two spheres, instead highlighting their profound interdependence. The artwork calls for mindful development strategies that respect and integrate the essence of both urban and rural life, urging a future where progress and preservation are in equilibrium.

## 4. Conclusion

*Inside the City–Outside the City* is more than a visual artwork; it is a narrative of urban-rural dynamics. Through its abstract representation and vivid techniques, the painting underscores the contrasts, connections, and co-evolution of urban and rural spaces. This analysis enriches our understanding of these relationships and highlights the value of integrating artistic perspectives into discussions about spatial and environmental planning.

## References

- Baker, L. (2020). *Urban and rural landscapes: A comparative analysis of their roles in societal development*. *Journal of Urban Studies*, 45(2), 123-145.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jus.2020.01.002>
- Hazel Harrison. (1994). *The Encyclopedia of Acrylic Techniques a Comprehensive Visual Guide to Traditional and Contemporary Techniques*. Singapore: Star Standart Industries (Pte) Ltd.
- James Elkins. (2009). *Artists with PhDs on the new Doctoral Degree in studio Art*. Washington, DC: New Academia Publishing.
- Kirk, C. (2014). 'Painting' as emergent knowledge: a practice-led case study of contemporary artistic labour. *Journal of Visual Art Practice*, 13(2).
- Mo, F., & Chandhasa, R. (2023). Research on Elements of Rural Environmental Design in China from the Perspective of Regional Culture. *Kurdish Studies*, 11(2), 3054-3063.
- Mousazadeh, H. (2022). Environmental Social Science and Urban Environment Management: A Review of Pathways Linking Policies and Planning to Citizens Living by the River. *Quaestiones Geographicae*, 41(4).
- Preble, D., Preble, S. & Frank, P. (1999). *Artforms: An Introduction to the Visual Arts* (6th ed.). New York: Longman.